



Tetrachloroethylene

Environmental estimates (circa 2011): Supplemental data

Table of Contents

1. Data for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates.....	2
Overview	2
i. Environmental Concentrations.....	2
ii. Calculated Lifetime Daily Intake	2
iii. Cancer Potency Factors	2
iv. Lifetime Excess Cancer Risk (per million people)	3
Supporting data by exposure pathway	3
i. Outdoor air	3
ii. Indoor air	3
iii. Dust.....	6
iv. Drinking water	6
v. Food and Beverages	6
2. Data quality for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates	9
3. Data for mapping concentrations	10
Estimates by health region	10
Estimates by census block	10

1. Data for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates

Overview

The summary data used to calculate lifetime excess cancer risk and the results for tetrachloroethylene are provided in the tables below. For more detailed information on supporting data and sources, see below for each exposure pathway.

i. Environmental Concentrations

Exposure pathway	Units	Average	Maximum	Notes
Outdoor air	µg/m ³	0.2	2.3	
Indoor air	µg/m ³	0.92	179.3	
Drinking water	µg/L	--	0.05	Maximum is the detection limit.
Foods and beverages		See detailed data	Not estimated	

ii. Calculated Lifetime Daily Intake

Exposure pathway	Average intake (mg/kg bodyweight per day)	Maximum intake (mg/kg bodyweight per day)
Outdoor air	0.0000046	0.000053
Indoor air	0.00030	0.058
Drinking water	--	0.0000013
Foods and beverages	0.0000019	Not estimated

iii. Cancer Potency Factors

Exposure route	Health Canada	US EPA	CA OEHA
Inhalation	--	0.00091	0.021
Ingestion	--	0.0021	0.051

Sources for Cancer Potency Factors:

- Health Canada, 2010. Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada, Part I: Guidance on Human Health Preliminary Quantitative Risk Assessment. Version 2.0.
- Health Canada, 2010. Federal Contaminated Site Risk Assessment in Canada, Part II: Health Canada Toxicological Reference Values (TRVs) and Chemical-Specific Factors. Version 2.0.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency Integrated Risk Information System
- California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, 2009. Air Toxics Hot Spots Risk Assessment Guidelines Part II: Technical Support Document for Cancer Potency Factors, Appendix A. (Updated 2011)

iv. Lifetime Excess Cancer Risk (per million people)

Exposure pathway	Average ¹			Maximum ²
	Health Canada	US EPA	CA OEHHA ³	
Outdoor air	--	0.0042	0.097	1.12
Indoor air	--	0.272	6.28	1223.23
Drinking water	--	--	--	0.066
Foods and beverages	--	0.0040	0.0969	Not estimated

¹Lifetime excess cancer risk based on average intake x cancer potency factor from each agency

²Lifetime excess cancer risk based on maximum intake x highest cancer potency factor

³California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

Supporting data by exposure pathway

i. Outdoor air

Outdoor air concentrations are from the National Air Pollution Surveillance monitoring network operated by Environment Canada, for the year 2010.

Source	Stations (n)	Min	Max	Mean	DF
NAPS 2010 (µg/m ³)	53	0.02	2.3	0.2	1.0

DF = Detection frequency

We assume tetrachloroethylene is present at these levels in all outdoor air, although concentrations may vary from one location to another.

ii. Indoor air

Indoor air concentrations are based on data published in peer-reviewed literature since 2000. A ranking system was used to select data most representative of Canadian conditions circa 2011:

1. Canadian data collected in 2000 or more recently, sample duration of 24 hours or longer;
2. US studies of similar currency and sample duration;
3. Studies from northern European countries of similar currency and sample duration;
4. Canadian, US or European studies with data collected prior to 2000 and similar sample duration; and
5. Studies with sample duration of less than 24 hours regardless of country or collection date, or studies from countries not comparable to Canada.

Rank:	1	Author:	Héroux (2008)	Location:	Canada, Québec City						
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
96	0.99		2005	µg/m ³	7 days	0.1	179.3		0.69	0.92	

*DF = Detection frequency

**DL = Detection limit

Rank:	2	Author:	Batterman (2007)	Location:	Ann Arbor and Ypsilanti, Michigan						
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
15	0.73	0.069	2005?	µg/m ³	4 days		4.4	0.6			

Notes: homes with attached garages, (not detected outdoors, DF 33% in garages)

*DF = Detection frequency

**DL = Detection limit

Rank:	2	Author:	Jia (2008)	Location:	USA, Michigan						
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
40	0.97		2004-2005	µg/m ³	3-4 days, weekday		3.1	0.21	0.18		
30								0.19	0.11		
40								0.43	0.28		
42								0.25	0.15		
29								0.18	0.16		
45								0.57	0.41		
226								0.32	0.21		

Notes: Values listed in following order: Ann Arbor (suburban) SUMMER, Ypsilanti (urban/commercial) SUMMER, Dearborn (industrial) SUMMER, Ann Arbor (suburban) WINTER, Ypsilanti (urban/commercial) WINTER, Dearborn (industrial) FALL, Three cities above overall stats.

*DF = Detection frequency

**DL = Detection limit

Rank:	2	Author:	Johnson (2010)	Location:	Detroit, MI						
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
41	0.88	0.2	2006	µg/m ³	7-day	<0.1	3.4	0.9			25th 0.3 50th 0.6 75th 1.1 95th 2.8

*DF = Detection frequency

**DL = Detection limit

Rank:	2	Author:	Weisel (2008)	Location:	NY, New Jersey						
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
100	0.23	3.4 or 1.4	2003 - 2006	µg/m ³	24h	<1.4	0.98	5.9			25th <1.4 50th <3.4 75th <3.4 90th 4.39 95th 9.53

*DF = Detection frequency

**DL = Detection limit

Rank:	3	Author:	Ohura (2006)				Location:	Shimuzu, Japan				
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile	
25	1.0	0.15 pg	2000-	µg/m ³	24h					0.16	10th 0.06	
21			2001								90th 0.34	
											10th 0.05	
											90th 0.92	

Notes: Values listed in following order: Summer, Winter

*DF = Detection frequency

**DL = Detection limit

Rank:	4	Author:	Sax (2006)				Location:	New York City, Los Angeles				
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile	
46	1.0	<1	1999-	µg/m ³	48h		78.3	6.6	3.24			
41			2000		weekday		5.66	2.04	1.79			

Notes: Values listed in following order: NYC – non-smoking homes, LA – non-smoking homes

*DF = Detection frequency

**DL = Detection limit

Rank:	5	Author:	Zhu (2005)				Location:	Ottawa, ON				
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile	
75	0.83		2002-	µg/m ³	100 min	0.015	9.23	1.15			50th 0.47	
			2003								75th 1.4	
											90th 3.25	

*DF = Detection frequency

**DL = Detection limit

Sources for indoor air data:

- Batterman S, Jia CR, Hatzivasilis G. 2007. Migration of volatile organic compounds from attached garages to residences: A major exposure source. *Environmental Research* 104: 224-240.
- Héroux ME, Gauvin D, Gilbert NL, Guay M, Dupuis G, Legris M, et al. 2008. Housing characteristics and indoor concentrations of selected volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in Quebec City, Canada. *Indoor and Built Environment* 17: 128-137.
- Jia C, Batterman S, Godwin C. 2008. VOCs in industrial, urban and suburban neighborhoods, Part 1: Indoor and outdoor concentrations, variation, and risk drivers. *Atmospheric Environment* 42: 2083-2100.
- Johnson MM, Williams R, Fan Z, Lin L, Hudgens E, Gallagher J, et al. 2010. Participant-based monitoring of indoor and outdoor nitrogen dioxide, volatile organic compounds, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons among MICA-Air households. *Atmospheric Environment In Press*: 1-10.
- Ohura T, Amagai T, Senga Y, Fusaya M. 2006. Organic air pollutants inside and outside residences in Shimizu, Japan: Levels, sources and risks. *Science of the Total Environment* 366: 485-499.
- Sax SN, Bennett DH, Chillrud SN, Ross J, Kinney PL, Spengler JD. 2006. A cancer risk assessment of inner-city teenagers living in New York City and Los Angeles. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 114: 1558-1566.

- Weisel CP, Alimokhtari S, Sanders PF. 2008. Indoor Air VOC Concentrations in Suburban and Rural New Jersey. Environmental Science & Technology 42: 8231-8238.
- Zhu JP, Newhook R, Marro L, Chan CC. 2005. Selected volatile organic compounds in residential air in the city of Ottawa, Canada. Environmental Science & Technology 39: 3964-3971.

iii. Dust

Tetrachloroethylene is not expected to be present in indoor dust in significant amounts.

iv. Drinking water

Drinking water data are from the Ontario Drinking Water Surveillance Program (DWSP) for 2011. A review of published reports was also conducted in order to compare how well the Ontario data represented other regions in Canada.

Source	Units	DL							
Ontario DWSP 2011	(µg/L)	0.05							
Sample Type	Parameter	Mean	SD	Min	25 th	50 th	75 th	Max	N
Distribution		0.05	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	342

DL = Detection limit
 SD = Standard Deviation

v. Food and Beverages

Food consumption data are from the Statistics Canada Food Survey (2006) - Food available, adjusted for losses tables, and from the Nutrition Canada Survey (1970-1972).

Food concentration data are primarily from the US-FDA Total Diet Study (2003-2004), with additional data on metals and several PAHs from the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) - National Chemical Residue Monitoring Program: 2009-2010 Annual Report and the US-FDA (TDS Statistics on Element Results - 2008).

In order to better represent actual intake, we incorporated data for cooked and/or processed foods, as in some cases, this can either add to or diminish the amount measured in raw food.

Concentration data were obtained for 50% of total meat consumed, 6% of total fruit consumed, 1% of total vegetables consumed, 52% of total dairy and eggs consumed, and 1% of total grains consumed.

Food or Beverage	Concentration (µg/g)	DF
Beef	0.00048	0.11364
Chicken		
Mutton and lamb		
Offal		
Oils and fats	0.00200	0.25000
Pork		
Salad oils		
Shortening and shortening oils		
Stewing hen		
Turkey		
Veal		
Fish fresh and frozen seafood		
Fish freshwater		
Fish processed seafood		
Apple pie filling		
Apple sauce		
Apples canned		
Apples dried		
Apples fresh		
Apples frozen		
Apricots canned		
Apricots fresh		
Bananas fresh		
Berries other fresh		
Blueberries canned		
Blueberries fresh		
Blueberries frozen		
Cherries fresh		
Cherries frozen		
Citrus other fresh		
Coconut fresh		
Cranberries fresh		
Dates fresh		
Figs fresh		
Fruit dried	0.01100	0.02273
Grapefruit fresh		
Grapes fresh		
Guava and mangoes fresh		
Kiwi fresh		
Lemons fresh		
Limes fresh		
Mandarins fresh		
Melons musk, cantaloupe fresh		
Melons other fresh		
Melons watermelons fresh		
Melons, winter melons fresh		
Nectarines fresh		
Oranges fresh		
Papayas fresh		
Peaches canned		

Food or Beverage	Concentration (µg/g)	DF
Peaches fresh		
Pears canned		
Pears fresh		
Pineapples canned		
Pineapples fresh		
Plums total fresh		
Quinces fresh		
Raspberries frozen		
Strawberries canned		
Strawberries fresh	0.00500	0.02326
Strawberries frozen		
Sugar maple		
Sugar refined		
Honey		
Artichokes fresh		
Asparagus canned		
Asparagus fresh		
Avocados fresh	0.00173	0.18182
Beans baked and canned		
Beans dry		
Beans green and wax canned		
Beans green and wax fresh		
Beans green and wax frozen		
Beets canned		
Beets fresh		
Broccoli fresh		
Broccoli frozen		
Brussels sprouts fresh		
Brussels sprouts frozen		
Cabbage Chinese fresh		
Cabbage fresh		
Carrots canned		
Carrots fresh		
Carrots frozen		
Cauliflower fresh		
Cauliflower frozen		
Celery fresh		
Corn canned		
Corn flour and meal		
Corn fresh		
Corn frozen		
Cucumbers fresh		
Eggplant fresh		
Garlic fresh		
Kohlrabi fresh		
Leeks fresh		
Lettuce fresh		
Lima beans frozen		
Manioc fresh		
Mushrooms canned		

Food or Beverage	Concentration (µg/g)	DF
Mushrooms fresh		
Okra fresh		
Olives fresh		
Onions and shallots fresh		
Parsley fresh		
Parsnips fresh		
Peas canned		
Peas dry		
Peas fresh		
Peas frozen		
Peppers fresh		
Potatoes chips	0.00052	0.11364
Potatoes frozen		
Potatoes other processed		
Potatoes sweet fresh		
Potatoes white fresh		
Potatoes white fresh and processed		
Pumpkins and squash fresh		
Radishes fresh		
Rappini fresh		
Rutabagas and turnip fresh		
Spinach fresh		
Spinach frozen		
Tomatoes canned		
Tomatoes fresh		
Tomatoes pulp, paste and puree		
Vegetables other edible root fresh		
Vegetables other leguminous fresh		
Vegetables unspecified canned		
Vegetables unspecified fresh		
Vegetables unspecified frozen		
Butter	0.00902	0.40909
Cheese cheddar	0.00030	0.06818
Cheese cottage		
Cheese processed	0.00009	0.04545
Cheese variety	0.00166	0.06818
Cream cereal 10%		
Cream sour	0.00700	0.02273
Cream table 18%		
Cream whipping 32% or 35%		
Eggs		
Ice cream	0.00030	0.09091
Ice milk		
Margarine	0.00284	0.27273

Food or Beverage	Concentration (µg/g)	DF
Milk buttermilk		
Milk chocolate drink		
Milk concentrated skim		
Milk concentrated whole		
Milk other whole milk products		
Milk partly skimmed 2%		
Milk skim		
Milk standard	0.00300	0.02273
Milk sweetened concentrated skim		
Milkshake		
Powder buttermilk		
Powder skim milk		
Powder whey		
Sherbet		
Yogurt		
Cereal products		
Oatmeal and rolled oats		
Peanuts	0.00048	0.13636
Pot and pearl barley		
Pulses and nuts		
Rice		
Rye flour		
Tree nuts		
Wheat flour		
Ale, beer, stout and porter		
Beverages alcoholic		
Coffee		
Distilled spirits		
Juice apple		
Juice grape		
Juice tomato		
Juice fruit		
Juice grapefruit		
Juice lemon		
Juice orange		
Juice pineapple		
Juice vegetable		
Soft drinks		
Tea		
Water bottled		
Wines		
Cocoa		

2. Data quality for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates

Only publicly available data were used to calculate these indicators. Data that are not publicly available may produce different results.

No systematic method for measuring data quality was possible, so we provide the following assessments of how well the data used may represent the actual Canadian average levels. Quality is rated higher when there are data from a number of Canadian monitors, or from Canadian studies that show results similar to other comparable studies. Quality is rated lower when data from few monitors or studies were available, and lowest when estimates are based on non-Canadian data. Others may rate data quality differently.

Exposure Pathway	Data Quality	Notes
Outdoor air	High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tetrachloroethylene is regularly measured in outdoor air at 53 monitoring stations across Canada using accepted protocols.
Indoor air	Low - Moderate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One recent Canadian study was identified (PQ). Geometric mean reported is similar to four recent US studies, although maximum reported is higher than those in the US studies.
Indoor dust		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exposure via dust is negligible
Drinking water	Moderate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tetrachloroethylene was detected in 3 percent of samples (n = 342) from the Ontario Drinking Water Surveillance Program in 2011.
Foods and beverages	Very Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Canadian data on concentrations of tetrachloroethylene in foods and beverages were identified. Data from the US-FDA (TDS-2003-2004) were used for this estimate.

3. Data for mapping concentrations

The maps use geographic coordinates at the census block level to represent residential locations. Concentration estimates are mapped at the health region level, which are created with aggregated census block data.

We used a model to predict annual average concentrations of tetrachloroethylene in outdoor air at residential locations for 2011. These are predicted using levels measured from the National Air Pollution Surveillance (NAPS) monitors and estimated concentrations from known emitters. For more information on how these estimates were created, please see the Mapping Methods document on the [Environmental Approach](#) section of our website.

Estimates by health region

The table below shows predicted tetrachloroethylene concentrations by province based on data at the health region level. The median concentration of tetrachloroethylene measured in outdoor air in 2011 at the health region level was 0.113 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, while the mean concentration was 0.137 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Concentrations of tetrachloroethylene can be higher or lower than average in many locations.

i. Provincial averages of predicted tetrachloroethylene concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) in outdoor air in 2011 based on health regions

Province	Median	Mean
BC	0.153	0.147
AB	0.146	0.171
SK	0.073	0.175
MB	0.092	0.097
ON	0.118	0.137
QC	0.131	0.138
NB	0.134	0.130
PE	0.127	0.127
NS	0.085	0.096
NL	0.070	0.072
YK	0.131	0.131
NT	0.090	0.090
NU	0.050	0.050
Canada	0.113	0.137

Estimates by census block

The table below shows provincial populations by concentration levels (either annual average or number of times above/below the national average) based on the census block data and the associated potential lifetime excess risk given different cancer potency factors.

i. Provincial population distribution by estimated average concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) of tetrachloroethylene in outdoor air in 2011 based on NAPS data at the census block

Estimated annual average concentration ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Less than 0.07	0.07 to 0.08	0.08 to 0.1	0.1 to 0.13	0.13 to 0.2	0.2 to 0.3	0.3 to 0.4	0.4 to 0.5	0.5 to 0.6	More than 0.6
Compared to national average ($0.20\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)*	> 3x lower	2.5 to 3x lower	2 to 2.5x lower	1.5 to 2x lower	1 to 1.5x lower	1 to 1.5x higher	1.5 to 2x higher	2 to 2.5x higher	2.5 to 3x higher	> 3.0x higher
	Below Average					Above Average				
BC	961,099 (21.8%)	--	260,658 (5.9%)	246,076 (5.6%)	1,024,862 (23.3%)	1,352,352 (30.7%)	-- (5.7%)	--	449,418 (10.2%)	105,592 (24.0%)
AB	670,479 (18.4%)	--	42,069 (1.2%)	--	312,868 (85.5%)	1,343,977 (36.9%)	--	1,275,864 (35.0%)	--	--
SK	399,847 (38.7%)	--	--	--	--	418,412 (40.5%)	--	--	--	215,122 (20.8%)
MB	327,399 (27.0%)	--	--	785,679 (65.0%)	--	95,190 (7.9%)	--	--	--	--
ON	2,301,418 (17.9%)	4,542 (<0.1%)	2,337,814 (18.2%)	1,371,077 (10.7%)	3,845,056 (29.9%)	2,935,617 (22.8%)	56,138 (0.4%)	159 (<0.1%)	--	--
QC	1,671,088 (21.1%)	390,595 (4.9%)	2,293 (<0.1%)	1,599,274 (20.2%)	48,050 (0.6%)	4,139,503 (52.4%)	52,198 (0.7%)	--	--	--
NB	339,317 (45.1%)	80,875 (10.8%)	--	--	--	330,979 (44.1%)	--	--	--	--
NS	351,776 (38.2%)	--	407,087 (44.2%)	--	--	162,864 (17.7%)	--	--	--	--
PE	59,229 (42.2%)	--	--	--	--	80,975 (57.8%)	--	--	--	--
NL	237,900 (46.2%)	235,709 (45.8%)	--	--	--	40,927 (8.0%)	--	--	--	--
NU	31,906 (100.0%)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
NT	22,228 (53.6%)	--	--	--	--	19,234 (46.4%)	--	--	--	--
YT	7,869 (23.2%)	--	--	--	--	26,028 (76.8%)	--	--	--	--
CANADA	7,381,555	771,721	3,049,921	4,002,106	5,230,836	10,946,058	108,336	1,276,023	449,418	320,714
% of pop.	(22.0%)	(2.1%)	(9.1%)	(12.0%)	(15.6%)	(32.7%)	(0.3%)	(3.8%)	(1.3%)	(1.0%)

ASSOCIATED LIFETIME EXCESS CANCER RISK (per million people):
 RED = POTENTIAL LIFETIME EXCESS RISK IS GREATER THAN 1 PER MILLION PEOPLE

Health Canada CPF: No CPF	0.11 to < 0.13	0.13 to < 0.16	0.16 to < 0.21	0.21 to < 0.32	0.097 to < 0.146	0.146 to < 0.194	0.194 to < 0.243	0.243 to < 0.291	> 0.291	
California OEHHA CPF: 0.021	< 0.11	0.11 to < 0.13	0.13 to < 0.16	0.16 to < 0.21	0.21 to < 0.32	0.097 to < 0.146	0.146 to < 0.194	0.194 to < 0.243	0.243 to < 0.291	> 0.291
US EPA CPF: 0.00091	< 0.0014	0.0014 to < 0.0017	0.0017 to < 0.0021	0.0021 to < 0.0028	0.0028 to < 0.0042	0.0042 to < 0.0063	0.0063 to < 0.0084	0.0084 to < 0.011	0.011 to < 0.0126	> 0.0126

* measured at National Air Pollution Surveillance (NAPS) monitors in 2011
 CPF: Cancer Potency Factor