



**MCP**

**Environmental estimates (circa 2011): Supplemental data**

## Table of Contents

<b>1. Data for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Overview .....</b>	<b>2</b>
i. Environmental Concentrations.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
ii. Calculated Lifetime Daily Intake .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
iii. Cancer Potency Factors.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
iv. Lifetime Excess Cancer Risk (per million people) .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
<b>Supporting data by exposure pathway .....</b>	<b>2</b>
i. Outdoor air .....	<b>2</b>
ii. Indoor air .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
iii. Dust .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
iv. Drinking water .....	<b>3</b>
v. Food and Beverages .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
<b>2. Data quality for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. Data for mapping concentrations.....</b>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
<b>Provincial estimates .....</b>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
i. Range of Predicted Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) by region .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
ii. Provincial tables .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

## 1. Data for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates

### Overview

Calculating lifetime excess cancer risk requires an estimate of intake and a cancer potency factor. No published cancer potency factors for inhalation or ingestion of MCPP are available from Health Canada, the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), or the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).

Concentrations of MCPP have been measured in Canadian outdoor air and drinking water in a limited number of studies – see below.

### Supporting data by exposure pathway

#### i. Outdoor air

Outdoor air concentrations are based on data published in peer-reviewed literature since 2000. A ranking system was used to select data most representative of Canadian conditions circa 2011:

1. Canadian data collected in 2000 or more recently, sample duration of 24 hours or longer;
2. US studies of similar currency and sample duration;
3. Studies from northern European countries of similar currency and sample duration;
4. Canadian, US or European studies with data collected prior to 2000 and similar sample duration; and
5. Studies with sample duration of less than 24 hours regardless of country or collection date, or studies from countries not comparable to Canada.

Rank:	1	Author:	Aulagnier (2008)		Location:	Canada, Québec					
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
1	.13	1.0x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2004	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	May – June	b.d.l.	0.000038	b.d.l.			
1	0				July – Sept	b.d.l.	b.d.l.	b.d.l.			

\*DF = Detection frequency  
 \*\*DL = Detection limit  
 b.d.l. = below detection limit

Rank:	1	Author:	Yao (2008)		Location:	Canada: BC, SK, ON, QC, PEI					
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile
1		6.0x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2004	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Apr28 – Jun1	n.d.	n.d.	-			
1					May19 – Aug4	n.d.	0.00866	0.0014			
1					May18 – Jul13	n.d.	0.00028	0.000064			
1					May21 – Jul23	n.d.	0.00021	0.000029			
1					May4 – Jun29	n.d.	n.d.	-			
1					May4 – Jun29	n.d.	0.00003	0.000006			
1					Jun24 – Sep28	n.d.	0.00002	0.000125			
1			2005		May4 – May30	n.d.	0.0005	0.000119			
1					May25 – Jul27	0.000135	0.0323	0.0067			
1					May19 – Jul7	n.d.	0.00064	0.000228			
1					Jun2 – Aug5	n.d.	0.00041	0.00004			
1					Jun16 – Aug11	n.d.	0.00293	0.00117			
1					Jun1 – Jun29	n.d.	n.d.	-			
1					Jun1 – Jun29	n.d.	n.d.	-			
1					Aug8 – Sep5	n.d.	n.d.	-			

Note: Values listed in the following order for 2004: Abbotsford, BC; Bratt's Lake, SK; Egbert, ON; Vineland, ON; St. Anicet, QC; Baie St. Francois, QC; Kensington, PEI. Values listed in the following order for 2005: Abbotsford, BC; Bratt's Lake, SK; Egbert, ON; Vineland, ON; Downs view, ON; St. Anicet, QC; Baie St. Francois, QC; Kensington, PEI  
 \*DF = Detection frequency  
 \*\*DL = Detection limit  
 n.d. = non-detected

Sources for outdoor air data:

- Aulagnier F, Poissant L, Brunet D, Beauvais C, Pilote M, Deblois C, Dassylva N. 2008. Pesticides measured in air and precipitation in the Yamaska Basin (Québec) : occurrence and concentrations in 2004. *Sci Total Environ* 294(2-3): 338-348.
- Yao Y, Harner T, Blanchard P, Tuduri L, Waite D, Poissant L, Murphy C, Belzer W, Aulagnier F, Sverko E. 2008. Pesticides in the atmosphere across Canadian agricultural regions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42 : 5931-5937.

**ii. Drinking water**

Drinking water data are from the Ontario Drinking Water Surveillance Program (DWSP) for 2006. A review of published reports was also conducted in order to compare how well the Ontario data represented other regions in Canada.

Source	Units	DL							
OntarioDWSP2006	(µg/L)	0.02							
SampleType	Parameter	Mean	SD	Min	25 <sup>th</sup>	50 <sup>th</sup>	75 <sup>th</sup>	Max	N
TreatedWater		0.02	0.0	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	54

DL = Detection limit  
 SD = Standard Deviation

Rank:	1	Author:	Byrtus (2004)			Location:	Canada, Alberta					
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile	
238	4.6		2000	µg/L			0.024					
196	7.1		2001				0.03					
212	1.4		2002				0.01					
111	0.9		2003				0.006					

\*DF = Detection frequency  
 \*\*DL = Detection limit

Rank:	1	Author:	Donald (2007)			Location:	Canada: Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba					
Samples (n)	DF*	DL**	Sample Date	Units	Sample Duration	Min	Max	Mean (AM)	Med	Geomean (GM)	Percentile	
28		0.0005	2004-2005	µg/L		0.0005	0.042	0.00574				

\*DF = Detection frequency  
 \*\*DL = Detection limit

#### Sources for drinking water:

- Byrtus G, Pongar K, Browning C, Burland R, McGuinness E, Humphries D. 2004. A summary of pesticide residue data from the Alberta Treated Water Survey, 1995-2003. Alberta Environment, Environmental Assurance Service. Edmonton. 57 pp.
- Donald DB, Cessna AJ, Sverko E, Glozier N. 2007. Pesticides in surface drinking-water supplies of the Northern Great Plains. Environmental Health Perspectives 115(8): 1183-1191.

## 2. Data quality for lifetime excess cancer risk estimates

We searched for only publicly available data of measurements of MCP P in the Canadian environment. No systematic method for measuring data quality was possible, so we provide the following assessments of how well the data used may represent the actual Canadian average levels. Quality is rated higher when there are data from a number of Canadian monitors, or from Canadian studies that show results similar to other comparable studies. Quality is rated lower when data from few monitors or studies were available, and lowest when estimates are based on non-Canadian data. Others may rate data quality differently.

Exposure Pathway	Data Quality	Notes
Outdoor air	Very Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two recent Canadian studies were identified. MCPP was not frequently detected in outdoor air, and if so at low levels.</li> </ul>
Indoor air	Gap	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No recent data or studies identified using appropriately accurate analytical methods.</li> </ul>
Indoor dust	Gap	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No recent data or studies identified using appropriately accurate analytical methods.</li> </ul>
Drinking water	Very Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MCPP was not measured in the Ontario Drinking Water Surveillance Program in 2011. It was not detected in any samples of treated drinking water (n=54), based on data from the Ontario Drinking Water Surveillance Program in 2006. The detection limit was 0.5 µg/L. Two recent studies in the Canadian prairies were identified that measured and had comparable maximum MCPP levels in treated drinking water.</li> </ul>
Food and beverages	Gap	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No Canadian or US data on concentrations of MCPP in foods and beverages were identified.</li> </ul>